

Anthony Boskovich, No. 121198
 Boskovich & Appleton
 28 N. First Street, 6th Floor
 San Jose, California 95113-1210

 (408) 286-5150
policemisconduct@compuserve.com

 Attorney for plaintiff NANCY NAVA

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

NANCY NAVA,

Plaintiff,
 v.
 MIKE SEADLER, individually and in his capacity
 as a Santa Clara police officer; CLYDE CHENG,
 individually and in his capacity as a Santa Clara
 police officer; ALEX TORKE, individually and in
 his capacity as a Santa Clara police officer; A.
 WOLF, individually and in his capacity as a Santa
 Clara police officer; S. MAJOROS, individually
 and in his capacity as a Santa Clara police officer;
 T. SHEARER, individually and in his capacity
 as a Santa Clara police officer; J. FANUCCHI,
 individually and in his capacity as a Santa Clara
 police officer; T. NIESEN, individually and in his
 capacity as a Santa Clara police officer; M. OVER,
 individually and in his capacity as a Santa Clara
 police officer; DAN WINTER, individually and in
 his capacity as a Santa Clara police sergeant; A.
 LANGE, individually and in his capacity as a
 Santa Clara police officer; R. CIRAULO,
 individually and in his capacity as a Santa Clara
 police officer; J. MASTILOCK, individually and
 in his capacity as a Santa Clara police officer; A.
 LAYTON, individually and in his capacity as a
 Santa Clara police officer; J. GREEN, individually
 and in his capacity as a Santa Clara police officer;
 D. RUSH, individually and in his capacity as a
 Santa Clara police officer; F. SAUNDERS,
 individually and in his capacity as a Santa Clara

No. C 08 03066 PSG

STIPULATION AND
~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER
 CONTINUING HEARING AND
 BRIEFING FOR DEFENDANTS'
 MOTION FOR SUMMARY
 JUDGMENT

Stipulation and [Proposed] Order re Motion for Summary Judgment

1 police officer; D. MACHADO, individually and)
 2 in his capacity as a Santa Clara police officer;)
 3 J. OLIVER, individually and in his capacity as a)
 4 Santa Clara police officer; B. STERKEL,)
 5 individually and in his capacity as a Santa Clara)
 6 police officer; MARK SCHALLER,)
 7 individually and in his capacity as a Santa Clara)
 8 police officer; JOHN DOE and RICHARD ROE,)
 9 individually and in their capacities as Santa Clara)
 10 police officers, the true names and exact numbers)
 11 of whom are unknown at this time; CITY OF)
 12 SANTA CLARA, a municipal corporation,)
 13 *Defendants.*)

14 Pursuant to Northern District of California Rule 7-7, **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED** by
 15 and between the parties hereto, through their respective attorneys of record, that the hearing on the
 16 Motion for Summary Judgment filed by defendants in this matter, which is currently set for hearing
 17 on 11 January 2011 at 10:00 A.M. before the Honorable Paul Grewal be continued 3 weeks to 1
 18 February 2011 before the Honorable Paul Grewal in Courtroom 5. The purpose of this stipulation
 19 is to allow plaintiff time to prepare his opposition to the motion after the holidays. With the
 20 exception of expert discovery, all discovery is complete and this case ready to be set for trial at the
 21 case management conference set for 11 January 2011 at the court's earliest convenience.

22 Plaintiff's opposition shall be due 21 days prior to the hearing, and defendants' reply, if any,
 23 shall be due 14 days prior to the hearing.

24 Dated: 17 December 2010

25 /s/ Anthony Boskovich

26 _____
 27 Attorney for Plaintiff

28 //

//

//

1 Dated: 17 December 2010

2 /s/ Jon Heaberlin

3

Attorney for Defendants

4
5
6 **ORDER**

7
8 Pursuant to the above stipulation, it is hereby ordered that the hearing on defendants' motion
9 for summary judgment is continued to 1 February 2011 at 10:00 A.M. in Courtroom 5. Plaintiff
10 shall file any opposition 21 days in advance of that date, and defendants shall file any reply 14 days
11 in advance of that date.

12
13 Dated: December 22, 201⁰~~1~~

14
15
16 
17 Magistrate Judge of the United States District Court